

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 2.0 Box 1450 Absundes, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/649,013	08/28/2000	Yasukazu Nilici	Q58716	7581
7590 11/13/2003			EXAMINER	
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas PLLC			ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<u> </u>			1756	

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/649,013	NIHEI ET AL.				
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Martin J Angebranndt	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the malling FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ınd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 2-12 and 19-21.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☑ Other: <u>pto-892 (2)</u>						
		11/1/w	\mathcal{J}			
		Martin d Angebrann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1756	ıdt			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The issue of coating a metal electrode material and etching it is described in the rejection citing Kanarian et al '068 and is clearly not allowable for the reasons of record. The examiner points to page 2/lines 6 of the amendment of 2/27/03 for the reference to JP 2002-020224. This is likely a typographical error based upon the applicant's response, but the applicant should at least recognize and correct the error as it is clearly in the text. The applicant should also consider submitting PTO-1449 when supplying references as set forth in MPEP 609. The applicant has not taken the time to establish that the citations of 2/27/2003 repalcing those originally in the speciofication. The applicant may wish to submit abstract by DERWENT or STN which establish the relationship between various patent douments and their priority documents. This type of EVIDENCE could obviate the issue.

2